Approved For Release 2016/12/04 : CIA-RDP70-00211R000500200055-3

Orig in DDS File

4 April 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
SUBJECT: Discussion with	on 31 March
1. On 31 March I discussed the following topic	es with Mr. Turner:
1. Storage of Index to WALNUT at Records	Center.
beputy Director of the Agency and the other from the Karamessines, concerning the general securification in his memorandum Mr. Helms discussed relative and at the Records Center. He referred to apparent to penetrate IBM. He also referred to the penetration at the Records Center. Mr. Karames on the security measures at Headquarters to prowith respect to the Records Center, his memorant of the Index over a period of several years and the Index is housed in unlocked safe cabinets a memorandum points out that these safes should be responsibility of the Records Center personnel	om the Assistant DDP, Ity of the WALNUT project. Security at Headquarters arent attempts by "the The possibility of any sines dwelt at length stect the WALNUT project. Idum outlined the storage semphasized the fact that to the Center, The e locked and it was the to see that this was done.
These two memoranda were referred to the Office of Security to make a survey to de relative to the allegations made in the memoran	

It is particularly significant that DDP does have several safes containing other types of records in the Center and these are locked and DDP retains the combination.



25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

I advised that there is considerable history in connection with this particular situation and it is well documented at the Records Center.
2. Report on the Status of DDI Records Management Program.
stated this report had been transmitted to the DDI. The covering memorandum, prepared by me, however, was rewritten. He further stated that the body of the report contained factual and typographical errors, but they were not corrected by the Office of DDI. When I challenged this he had no specific instances. On returning to the office we reread the report in detail and could find no factual or typographical errors.
3. Personnel Actions for
advised that these had been approved as of 27 March. He said that it was the practice of the DDB to present the promotion action to the persons concerned and asked my opinion. I concurred in this idea and suggested that the operating officials in NPIC and OTR should be advised and that appropriate representatives from their offices should be present. In addition, I advised him that NPIC in particular was anxious to make somewhat of a ceremony on this action and I suggested he call
The Company Administration Staff, DDS

SEONE